Year	2022-23
Course number and Name:	PSYC 2301 General Psychology
Component area:	Critical Thinking, Communication, Empirical/Quantitative, Social Responsibility
Number of sections offered:	10
Number of students enrolled:	375
Contact Person (include email & Phone#)	Jeremy Shelton, jashelton@lamar.edu, 880-7839

Summary of Continuous Improvement Efforts since Last Report

Provide a brief description of how assessment results have been used for core course improvement. Point to a specific example of how an assessment provided the department with data it could use for improvement and what that improvement was, if possible, also show evidence of the improvement. You may look at data from the two previous academic years to support this case.

Respond here:

For the last 2 review periods, the Psychology department has used the same benchmark for each of the component areas in the PSYC 2301 assessment. That benchmark was 60% of students obtain an average score of at least 3 out of 4 across all rubric measures pertaining to each component area. For the last 2 review periods this benchmark was met for all four component areas. In response to this result, the Psychology department will increase the benchmark to 70% of students obtaining the previously described score for the 2023-2024 review period.

Course highlights Since Last Report

Identify and briefly discuss any changes made to the course since the last report.

Respond here:

No changes have been made.

Table 1. PSYC2301 Assessment Results and Analysis for Current Cycle

Stage 1: PLAN		STAGE 2: DO		Stage 3: STUDY		
General	Assessment	Proficiency – e.g.	Benchmark – e.g.	Results of course	Analysis of	Recommendations
Education	Method(s) – e.g.	the proficient	80% of students	assessment(s)	results – e.g.	for Course based
Competencies	pre/post tests,	student will	taking the final		strengths and	on assessment
Addressed in this	embedded	correctly answer	exam will		weaknesses	
Course:	questions,	5 out of the 6	correctly answer		What does this	
	portfolio	embedded	5 of the 6		data tell you?	
	evaluation, rubric-	questions on the	embedded		How will you use	
	scored essay; list	final exam	questions on the		this data? How	
	only activities for		final exam		were data from	
	which you are				the last cycle	
	reporting				used to make	
	assessment data				changes during	
					this cycle, and	
					what were the	
					results of those	
					changes?	
Communication	Assessment	Proficiency	Benchmark	Results of course	Analysis of	Recommendations
(required)	Method(s)			assessment(s)	results	for Course based
		The proficient	60% of students			on assessment
	Students will	student will	will receive a	90.6% of students	Previously, 94%	
	demonstrate	obtain a score of	score of 3 or	received a score	of students	Given that the
	effective written	3 or higher on a 4	higher on a 4	of 3 or higher on	received a score	benchmark of 60%
	communication	points scale for	points scale for	a 4 points scale	of 3 or higher on	was exceeded in
	skills through a	the average on	the average on	for the average	a 4 points scale	previous
	written project	the two questions	the two questions	on the two	for the average	assessment cycle,
	assignment	related to	related to	questions related	on the two	recommendation
	containing an	communication	communication	to	questions related	is to raise the
	evaluation/critique	(control of syntax	(control of syntax	communication	to	benchmark to
	of the scientific	and mechanics -	and mechanics -	(control of syntax	communication	70%.
	merits and	effective	effective	and mechanics -	(control of syntax	
	outcomes of a	expression +	expression +	effective	and mechanics -	
	study focused on			expression +	effective	

	the topic of	audience and	audience and	audience and	expression +	
	helping behavior	purpose)	purpose)	purpose)	audience and	
	in humans.				purpose)	
	iii iiuiiaiis.				Changes from previous cycles: A 3 rd communication item was removed because it did not discriminate between students' performance	
					(nearly all	
					students scored 4	
					out of 4).	
Critical Thinking	Assessment	Proficiency	Benchmark	Results of course	Analysis of	Recommendations
(required)	Method(s) Students will	The proficient student will	60% of students will receive a	assessment(s) 82.8% of students	results	for Course based on assessment
	demonstrate an	obtain a score of	score of 3 or	received a score	Previously, 77.7%	
	understanding of	3 or higher on a 4	higher on a 4	of 3 or higher on	of students received a score	Given that the benchmark of 60%
	the application of psychological	points scale for the average on	points scale for the average on	a 4 points scale for the average	of 3 or higher on	was exceeded in
	principles to our	the two questions	the two questions	on the two	a 4 points scale	previous
	daily lives by	related to critical	related to critical	questions related	for the average	assessment cycle,
	applying the logic	thinking	thinking	to critical thinking	on the two	recommendation
	underlying the	(influence of	(influence of	(influence of	questions related	is to raise the
	empirical and	context and	context and	context and	to critical	benchmark to
	scientific methods	assumptions +	assumptions +	assumptions +	thinking	70%.
	used in the field of				(influence of	

	psychology to evaluate/critique the scientific merits and outcomes of a study focused on the topic of helping behavior in humans.	conclusions and outcomes)	conclusions and outcomes)	conclusions and outcomes)	context and assumptions + conclusions and outcomes)	
Select One: _XEmpirical & Quantitative SkillsTeamworkSocial responsibilityPersonal Responsibility	Assessment Method(s) Students will accurately summarize the central conclusions from a set of graphically represented data depicting the outcomes of a study focused on the topic of helping behaviors	Proficiency The proficient student will obtain a score of 3 or higher on a 4 points scale (application & evaluation).	Benchmark 60% of students will receive a score of 3 or higher on a 4 points scale (application & evaluation).	Results of course assessment(s) 93.7% of students will receive a score of 3 or higher on a 4 points scale (application & evaluation).	Analysis of results Previously, 97.6% of students received a score of 3 or higher on a 4 points scale (application & evaluation).	Recommendations for Course based on assessment Given that the benchmark of 60% was exceeded in previous assessment cycle, recommendation is to raise the benchmark to 70%.
Select One:Empirical & Quantitative SkillsTeamwork _XSocial responsibilityPersonal Responsibility	in humans. Assessment Method(s) Students will demonstrate an understanding of the impact of several personal and/or social psychologically- related variables	Proficiency The proficient student will obtain a score of 3 or higher on a 4 points scale.	Benchmark 60% of students will receive a score of 3 or higher on a 4 points scale.	Results of course assessment(s) 82.8% of students will receive a score of 3 or higher on a 4 points scale.	Analysis of results Previously, 89.4% of students received a score of 3 or higher on a 4 points scale.	Recommendations for Course based on assessment Given that the benchmark of 60% was exceeded in previous assessment cycle, recommendation

on the likelihood			is to raise the
of individuals			benchmark to
demonstrating			70%.
pro-social/helping			
behaviors toward			
other people.			

Table 2. Continuous Improvement Results Since Last Report

STAGE 4: ACT		
Actions/Goals based on data	Status	Discussion of status
results	C=Complete	If C, describe efforts that led to accomplishment of actions/goals
*copy last cycles actions/goals	P=Progressing	If P, provide update on progress made toward accomplishing actions/goals
and report on progress toward	N=No action taken	and what tasks remain
continuous improvement on		If N, discuss why action toward accomplishing actions/goals has been
those here		delayed and what work will be initiated toward accomplishment.
Last cycle no actions were taken and no goals were revised because all benchmarks were achieved. For the upcoming review cycle (23-24) we are increasing all benchmarks from 60% to 70%.	P	The new performance benchmarks will be reflected in the 23-24 review cycle.