

Civil and Environmental Engineering

Annual Program Report Template

Year:	2023 (Spring) (Submitted May 2023)
Program:	Masters of Science Environmental Engineering
Contact Person (include email & phone#)	Venkatesh Uddameri; vuddameri@lamar.edu ; 409-880-7207

Summary of Continuous Improvement Efforts since Last Report

Provide a brief description of how assessment results have been used for program improvement. Point to a specific example of how an assessment provided the program with data it could use for improvement and what that improvement was, if possible, also show evidence of the improvement. You may look at data from the two previous academic years to support this case.

Respond here:

The new format of the comprehensive exam for the non-thesis option (Introduced in Fall 2022) was continued to be implemented in Spring 2023. Based on the faculty and student feedback from Fall 2022, the new comprehensive format included a new breadth section for the Environmental Engineering graduate students.

Program Highlights Since Last Report

Identify and briefly discuss any programmatic curriculum changes made since the last report (e.g. new courses, course changes, SLO changes, course deletions).

Respond here:

Two new courses were added to the program. These include:

1. Machine Learning for Engineering
2. Optimization and Decision Making for Engineers

The courses were developed by new faculty hires as special topics in Spring 2023 and are in the process of obtaining regular numbers for inclusion into the department course offerings.

Table 1. Assessment Results and Analyses for Current Cycle.

STAGE 1: PLAN				STAGE 2: DO		STAGE 3: STUDY
Departmental Student Learning Goal	Program Student Learning Outcome	Assessment	Assessment Method/Location	Benchmark Expectations	Data Results	Actions/Goals Based on Data Results* What do the data tell you? How will you use this data? How were data from the last cycle used to make changes during this cycle, and What were the results of those changes?
An understanding of the impact of human activities to the atmospheric and aquatic environment	1.1 An understanding of the pollution sources 1.2 Literacy of the Environmental Media	Direct	Comprehensive examination.	70% of the students will achieve a 3.0/4.0 or higher	The target threshold was met with an overall score of 3.30 (3.30 and 3.29) on both sub-SLOs	There were three students (two non-thesis and one thesis) who graduated in the reporting period as the enrollment was affected by COVID related issues. No changes are deemed at this stage, but the indicators will be monitored as cohort size increases in coming years.
An ability to design engineering components to meet desired needs for pollution control in air and water	2.1 Use of engineering principles to analyze and design pollution control systems 2.2 Knowledge of design processes	Direct	Comprehensive examination	70% of the students will achieve a 3.0/4.0 or higher	The outcome was met with an average of 3.23 and 3.30	No improvements were deemed necessary at this stage based on the results of the evaluation.
Ability to survey and organize peer-reviewed literature in environmental engineering for problem solving tasks	3.1 Knowledge of available literature in environmental science and engineering 3.2 Ability of organizing information published in peer-	Direct	Direct: Thesis defense or Comprehensive Examination	70% of students in the program will achieve 3.0/4.0 or higher.	The outcome was met with average score of 3.39 and 3.20 on the two sub criteria for an overall score of 3.30	No improvements were deemed necessary at this stage.

	reviewed literature					
Ability to complete a masters thesis successfully and effectively communicate the thesis work orally and in Writing	4.1 Thesis significance 4.2 Organization of the thesis 4.3 Thesis presentation and delivery 4.4 Question and Answer during defense and impromptu thinking skills	Direct	Evidence from written thesis and oral defense.	70% of the students will achieve a 3.0/4.0 or higher	The outcome was met with an overall average of 3.50 for all four sub criteria	One student defended their thesis during the period of performance. No improvements were deemed necessary at this stage based on the results of the evaluation.

Table 2. Continuous Improvement Results Since Last Report

Stage 4: ACT		
Actions/Goals Based on Data Results <i>*Copy last cycle's actions/goals and report on progress toward continuous improvement on those here.</i>	Status <i>C=Complete</i> <i>P=Progressing</i> <i>N=No Action Taken</i>	Discussion of Status <i>If C, describe efforts that led to accomplishment of actions/goals.</i> <i>If P, provide update on progress made toward accomplishing actions/goals and what tasks remain</i> <i>If N, discuss why action toward accomplishing actions/goals has been delayed and what work will be initiated toward accomplishment.</i>
No actions or goals were listed in the previous assessment	N	Some modifications such as standardization of testing; addition of new courses were carried out even though they were not explicitly identified in the previous planning cycle.