Insert Academic Degree Name Here

Annual Program Report Template

Year:	2022-2023
Program:	Master of Arts in Teaching Spanish
Contact Person (include email & phone#)	ehatfield@lamar.edu

Summary of Continuous Improvement Efforts since Last Report

Provide a brief description of how assessment results have been used for program improvement. Point to a specific example of how an assessment provided the program with data it could use for improvement and what that improvement was, if possible, also show evidence of the improvement. You may look at data from the two previous academic years to support this case.

Respond here:

Our MA students must develop and defend a teaching portfolio to graduate from the MA. Students must take SPAN 5390 Special Topics in Teaching and pass their final oral exam. Every semester we adapt our curriculum and modify our outcomes according to the student's needs and we use our results for the creation of new courses. Since Fall of 2021, we have adjusted and improved our Master of Arts in Teaching Spanish schedule based on our last assessment. For example, in Spring 2022, we improved our program by

- 1. Reviewing five final teaching portfolios and 5 PowerPoint presentations. The faculty committee developed a holistic test based on research; students need to analyze a specific theory, defend their point of view, and demonstrate high performance in their final oral exam by defending at least three teaching methods and one specific theory related to teaching methods.
- 2. In Fall 2021 and Spring 2023, we collected five teaching portfolios developed for final oral exams. We wanted to see if our holistic test were practical and followed the outcomes. We assessed written communication, critical thinking, and the student's ability to analyze and synthesize at least three ideas about teaching Spanish to SL2 and SHS students. In Spring 2023 we offered SPAN 5350 and SPAN 5370.

Program Highlights Since Last Report

Identify and briefly discuss any programmatic curriculum changes made since the last report (e.g. new courses, course changes, SLO changes, course deletions).

Respond here:

- 1. We offered a Synchronous methodology to interact with our students. Since the beginning of the assessment and the yearly evaluations, we noticed that students prefer a synchronous instead of an Asynchronous teaching methodology. Based on the evaluations, students prefer this methodology over the lapse of 5 years.
- 2. We added new theories and pedagogical texts to improve the quality of the courses.
- 3. We modified our outcomes and following them according to the peer review outlines in Quality Matters offered by Lamar Blackboard to foster analytical and learning comprehension skills of students and encourage the development of students' critical thinking.
- 4. In 2023 we offered a Linguistics course to improve curriculum offered to MA students.

Table 1. Assessment Results and Analyses for Current Cycle.

STAGE 1: PLAN				STAGE 2: DO		STAGE 3: STUDY
Departmental Student	Program	Assessment	Assessment	Benchmark	Data	Actions/Goals Based on Data
Learning Goal	Student Outcome		Method/Location	Expectations	Results	Results* What do the data tell
The purpose of the	1. SPAN 5390		This outcome will	TARGET: It is	TARGET	you? How will you use this
MATS Program is to	Graduate students		be evaluated by a	expected that	MET	data? How were data from the
equip teachers with in-	will demonstrate a		faculty committee.	80% of	Please see	last cycle used to make changes
depth knowledge of all	high-level of		The faculty will	graduate	the attached	during this cycle, and What
facets of the Spanish-	critical thinking		evaluate 5 portfolios for final	students will	table and rubric.	were the results of those changes?
speaking world, as well	skills and		oral exams. The	demonstrate a	Tublic.	The MATS Program will use
as with the latest	professional		committee will ask	high-level of		this data to develop new
methodologies and	proficiency in the		specific questions	critical thinking		courses, adding new
pedagogical best	Spanish language;		about the student's	and oral		instructional teaching materials,
practices for teaching	relevant to		presentation and	proficiency		modify teaching methodology
Spanish. Although the	curricula planning,		apply the rubric.	throughout the		and improve our future
program is targeted	language lesson		Students will	oral		assessment.
primarily toward certified	design,		demonstrate	examination.		
K-12 Spanish teachers,	instructional		acceptable	Please see table		
its wider appeal includes:	material,		proficiency in Spanish and	#1		
(1) individuals who have	assessment tools,		teaching methods in			
completed an	research projects,		a final oral			
undergraduate degree in	and execution of		presentation of their			
Spanish and are seeking	lessons by		final portfolio.			
to obtain a master's	presenting findings		•			
degree in the discipline	that synthesizes					
for either personal or	the results of their					
professional purposes;	research and					
(2) students planning to	presenting and					
complete a Ph.D. in	defending their					
Spanish who desire	own points of					
graduate courses that	views. A faculty					
emphasize pedagogical	committee will					
practice; (3) current and	evaluate the results					
future community	and the students'					
college and adjunct	ability to analyze					
university Spanish	and synthetize					
faculty seeking either to	their research.					
maintain or to advance						

their employment opportunities; and (4) students who have completed a master's degree through the University of Salamanca and who desire an additional degree from a U.S. institution of higher learning, a degree that is more commonly recognized by U.S. Education Agencies.	SPAN 5350 Outcome: MATS students will develop at least five lesson plans that exemplifies the application of such theory into the teaching of Spanish to Heritage speakers of Spanish and native speakers.	Assessment Method/Location The instructor will evaluate 5 lesson plans based on the following teaching topics: literary genres, social, cultural, artistic, and/or historical aspects of Hispanic communities in the USA, in accordance with a rubric.	TARGET: It is projected that 75% of the Teaching Portfolio will meet the rubric standards and be judged appropriate to the language level and audience. Please see table 2 and attached rubrics.	TARGET MET Please see the attached table and rubric.	The MATS Program will use this data to develop new courses, adding new instructional teaching materials, modify teaching methodology and improve our future assessment.
	SPAN 5370 Outcome: Students in the MATS program will demonstrate a high-level of proficiency in written literary or	Assessment Method/Location The instructor will evaluate 5 essays based on the following teaching topics:	TARGET: It is projected that 75% of the Teaching Portfolio will meet the rubric standards and be judged	TARGET MET Please see the attached table and rubric.	The MATS Program will use this data to develop new courses, adding new instructional teaching materials, modify teaching methodology and improve our future assessment.

rhetorical rand synthese research by at least 3 est and particip in 10 discurboards. The instructor we valuate the based on the of study (linguage genres, social cultural, and and/or historial aspects of Sepeaking countries) is accordance we had a spects.	sis of writing says pating ssion e will e essays e topic terary ial, cistic, orical Spanish	literary genres, social, cultural, artistic, and/or historical aspects of the Latin American literature and culture in accordance with a rubric.	appropriate to the language level and audience. Please see table 2 and attached rubrics.		
rubric.					

TARGET MET-TABLE 1

Criteria	Developing	Marginal	Proficient	Exemplary	Total	Target Acceptable or higher
Mastery of Material	1	1	5	4	11	
	9%	9%	45%	36%		82%
Organization and Clarity	0	1	6	4	11	
	0%	9%	55%	36%		91%
Breadth of Knowledge	1	1	6	3	11	
	9%	9%	55%	27%		82%
Poise and Confidence	1	1	5	4	11	
	9%	9%	45%	36%		82%

SPAN 5390-Please the attached rubric*

TARGET MET-TABLE 2

Criteria	Developing	Marginal	Proficient	Exemplary	Total	Target Acceptable or higher
Independent Thinking	0	1	3	1	5	
	0%	20%	60%	20%		80%
Audience and Purpose	0	1	2	2	5	
	0%	20%	40%	40%		80%
Argument	0	1	1	3	5	
	0%	20%	20%	60%		80%
Language and Style	0	1	2	2	5	
	0%	20%	40%	40%		80%

SPAN 5350-Please the attached rubric*

TABLE 3

Criteria	Developing	Marginal	Proficient	Exemplary	Total	Target 75%
Research	0	1	3	2	6	
	0%	17%	50%	33%		83%
Critical Thinking	0	1	2	3	6	
	0%	17%	33%	50%		83%
Analysis	0	1	2	3	6	
	0%	17%	33%	50%		83%
Synthesis	0	1	3	2	6	
	0%	17%	50%	33%		83%

SPAN 5350-Please the attached rubric* TABLE 3

Table 2. Continuous Improvement Results Since Last Report

Stage 4: ACT							
Actions/Goals Based on Data Results *Copy last cycle's actions/goals and report on progress toward continuous improvement on those here.	Status C=Complete P=Progressing N=No Action Taken Complete	Discussion of Status If C, describe efforts that led to accomplishment of actions/goals. If P, provide update on progress made toward accomplishing actions/goals and what tasks					
		remain If N, discuss why action toward accomplishing actions/goals has been delayed and what work will be initiated toward accomplishment.					