Insert Academic Degree Name Here

Annual Program Report Template

Year:	2021 - 2022
Program:	M.Ed. in Teacher Leadership
Contact Person (include email & phone#)	Julia Yoo hyoo@lamar.edu 409-880-2127

Summary of Continuous Improvement Efforts since Last Report

Provide a brief description of how assessment results have been used for program improvement. Point to a specific example of how an assessment provided the program with data it could use for improvement and what that improvement was, if possible, also show evidence of the improvement. You may look at data from the two previous academic years to support this case.

Respond here:

One of the program goals is to develop leadership skills through higher order thinking skills and technology integration in an education setting. As part of student learning outcome for the program goal is for our program candidates to demonstrate how they supported P-12 students learn through data driven decisions and technology integration. Even though our collected program data from 2020-2021 to 2021-2022 showed that our program candidates met the learning benchmark target for that category, the faculty saw the need to increase the emphasis on the impact on P-12 student learning as a result of our program. Accordingly, program improvement efforts were made by adjusting candidates' professional portfolio requirements, evaluation criteria and rubric. Therefore, the learning benchmark target data can reflect impact on P-12 student learning.

Program Highlights Since Last Report

Identify and briefly discuss any programmatic curriculum changes made since the last report (e.g., new courses, course changes, SLO changes, course deletions).

Respond here:

During the reporting year of 2021 and 2022, there were major content updates in two courses in the Teacher Leadership program: PEDG 5310 Research for Teachers & PEDG 5344 School Law for Teachers. Course prefix and title change occurred for both courses. The former got changed to PEDG 5307 Introduction to Research and the latter got changed to EDLD 5321 School Law for Teachers.

To reflect most up to date information in both courses, teams of content experts got convened from various departments and they developed all new learning activities that are aligned with state, national standards, and the mission of college of education and human development at Lamar University.

Table 1. Assessment Results and Analyses for Current Cycle.

STAGE 1: PLAN				STAGE 2: DO		STAGE 3: STUDY
Departmental Student Learning Goal	Program Student Learning Outcome	Assessment	Assessment Method/Locati on	Benchmark Expectations	Data Results	Actions/Goals Based on Data Results* What do the data tell you? How will you use this data? How were data from the last cycle used to make changes during this cycle, and What were the results of those
Critical Thinking Skills through Reflective Practices. To equip candidates in the Teacher Leader program through critically reflective practices by clearly and persuasively communicating beliefs, ideas, and action plans to provide information for making sound decisions for the classroom, campus, and/or district.	Reflective Practice through Academic Writing. Graduate students in the Teacher Leadership program will demonstrate academic writing abilities, specifically by applying correct mechanics, grammar, spelling, language usage, persuasive dialogue/communicatio n, and organizing appropriate content knowledge. Rationale: The faculty agreed that this is core knowledge and expectant behavior of all educators.	Philosophy of Teacher Leadership. In the capstone course (PEDG 5376), Teacher Leadership program candidates develop their professional portfolios as a culminating project. In their portfolios, candidates submit a Philosophy of Teacher Leadership paper detailing their beliefs about what teacher leadership is and the role and responsibilities of a teacher leader, a description of the qualities and skills a teacher leader should demonstrate.	Scoring Rubric	85% of program candidates will score High Proficient (level 4 out of 5) or higher level on the writing of the Philosophy of Teacher Leadership rubric.	During the current reporting year of 2021-2022, 100% of Philosophy of Teacher Leadership paper showed Proficient or higher-level writing from a total of 36 portfolios that were evaluated by the graduate faculty in the Teacher Leadership program.	changes? Even though students exceeded the learning target, this is due to the academic support faculty members provided throughout the process by allowing students to work on their draft and revise their work. As we have seen the success of the learning results during this evaluation cycle, the faculty identified a goal to provide continued academic support for their learning progress.

T			
	and an		
	explanation of		
	how they could		
	best display		
	their leadership		
	skills in driving		
	change in their		
	school and		
	district.		
	Professional		
	portfolios are		
	evaluated by		
	course		
	instructors who		
	hold graduate		
	faculty status,		
	and three		
	graduate faculty		
	members can be		
	formed when		
	needed.		
	needed.		
	Rationale: Being		
	able to		
	demonstrate		
	academic writing		
	abilities through		
	abilities tillough		
	self-reflection is		
	one of the		
	critical elements		
	graduate		
	students are		
	expected to		
	master in the		
	Teacher		
	Leadership		
	program. The		
	faculty		
	determined that		
	the philosophy		
	at to a har		
	of teacher		
	leadership paper		
	is a good		
	example to		
	measure		
	graduate		
	graduate		

Т	aturdantal If				
	students' self-				
	reflection and				
	academic writing				
	abilities.				
	Personal Growth	Scoring Rubric	85% of program	During the	As we have seen the success
	and Goals. In		candidates will	current reporting	of the learning results during
	the capstone		score High	year of 2021-	this evaluation cycle, the faculty
	course (PEDG		Proficient (level 4	2022, the results	identified a goal to provide
	5376), Teacher		out of 5) or	show Proficient	continued academic support for
	Leadership		higher level on	or higher-level of	their learning progress.
			the rubric for	100% in the	their learning progress.
	program				
	candidates		Personal Growth	Personal Growth	
	develop their		and Goals for	and Goals for	
	professional		Future.	Future paper.	
	portfolios as a				
	culminating				
	project. In their				
	portfolios,				
	candidates				
	submit a				
	Personal Growth				
	and Goals for				
	Future paper				
	describing				
	professional				
	growth as a				
	teacher leader				
	and setting				
	goals by stating				
	specific action				
	plans.				
	Professional				
	portfolios are				
	evaluated by				
	course				
	instructors who				
	hold graduate				
	faculty status,				
	and three				
	graduate faculty				
	members can be				
	formed when				
	needed.				
	Rationale: Being				
	able to				

		demonstrate academic writing abilities through detailing professional goals is one of the critical				
		elements graduate students are expected to master in the Teacher Leadership program. The faculty determined that				
		the Personal Growth and Goals for Future paper is a good example to measure graduate students' academic writing abilities.				
Leadership with Professional Knowledge and Analytical Thinking Skills. To develop leadership skills by identifying and applying current research in the field of education and demonstrate its effective use and application to their current educational environment.	Literature Review. Candidates in the Teacher Leadership program will identify and apply current research in the field of education and demonstrate its effective use and application to their current educational environment. Rationale: The faculty agreed that this skill is a core requirement for all professional	Literature Review. In the course of Research for Teachers (PEDG 5310), students write a literature review as part of an Action Research Proposal. Candidates are expected to develop and propose a research plan including	Scoring Rubric	85% of program candidates will score Meets Criteria (level 3 out of 4) or higher level on the writing of the Action Research Proposal rubric.	During the current reporting year of 2021-2022, 98.71% showed Meets Criteria or higher-level scores in the literature review section of action research proposals. This finding is from a total of 192 action research proposals.	Based on the data analysis, the faculty determined that even though we met the learning goals with the target learning outcomes, it would be beneficial to provide further academic support because some students fell below the cut off points, and some students had room to improve to reach Level 4 in the rubric.
	educators.	literature review on a chosen				

	Т		Г	
topic by citing				
scholarly				
materials				
through library				
databases. The				
literature review				
gets evaluated				
using the Action				
Research				
Proposal rubric.				
Content	Scoring Rubric	85% of program	During the	Data indicate a need for
Knowledge	Sconing Rubine	candidates will	current reporting	continued improvement in
Paper. In the		score Proficient	year of 2021-	student success on academic
capstone course		(level 3 out of 5)	2022, 100% of	writing skills particularly for the
(PEDG 5376),		or higher level on	content	content papers.
each graduate		the six content	knowledge	
student		papers of their	paper showed	Identified Goal: The content for
develops a		professional	Proficient or	this assessment will contribute
professional		portfolios in the	higher-level	to increased quality of
portfolio as a		capstone course,	marks by the	academic writing skills.
culminating		PEDG 5376.	graduate faculty	
project. In their			in the Teacher	
portfolios,			Leadership	
students write			program. This	
six content			result is from 23	
knowledge			professional	
papers by			portfolios	
demonstrating			evaluated.	
their learned				
content				
knowledge. In				
particular, all				
students are				
expected to				
present				
•				
persuasive				
academic				
papers by citing				
scholarly				
materials. Each				
content paper				
should have a				
minimum of				
three peer-				
reviewed journal				
articles or				

				ı	T	T
		books.				
		Professional				
		portfolios are				
		evaluated by				
		course				
		instructors who				
		hold graduate				
		faculty status,				
		and three				
		graduate faculty				
		members can be				
		formed when				
		needed.				
		Rationale: Being				
		able to				
		demonstrate				
		academic writing				
		abilities is one of				
		the elements				
		graduate				
		students are				
		expected to				
		master in the				
		Teacher				
		Leadership				
		program. The				
		faculty				
		determined that				
		the six content				
		papers in the				
		professional				
		portfolio is a				
		good example to				
		measure				
		graduate				
		students'				
		literature review				
		skills.				
Leadership with	Technology Integration	Showcase of	Scoring Rubric	85% of program	During the	Data indicate a need for
Innovation and	& Higher Order	Best Work		candidates will	current reporting	continued improvement in
Higher Order	Thinking Skills.The			score Proficient	year of 2021-	student success on academic
Thinking Skills. To	candidates in the			(level 3 out of 5)	2022, 100% of	writing skills.
develop leadership	Teacher Leadership			or higher level on	the show case	
skills though higher	program will			the Showcase of	of best work	Identified Goal: The content for
order thinking skills	demonstrate how they					this assessment will contribute
order triiriking skills	demonstrate now triey			Best Work paper	showed	una assessment will continute

and technology integration in an educational setting.	support P-12 students learn through data driven decisions and technology integration. Rationale: The faculty agreed that innovation and analytical thinking skills are core knowledge and expectant behavior of all educators.		in the capstone course, PEDG 5376.	Proficient or higher-level marks by the graduate faculty in the Teacher Leadership program. This result is from 36 professional portfolios evaluated.	to increased quality of academic writing skills.
		Action Research Proposal. In the course, Research for Teachers (PEDG 5310), students develop an Action Research Proposal, which includes an introduction, background, purpose, literature review, research questions, data collection procedures, research methods, data analysis, limitations, plan of action, and references. Throughout this assignment, students must demonstrate the ability to synthesize information using higher-order thinking	85% of program candidates will score Proficient (level 3 out of 5) or higher level on the Action Research Proposal in the Research for Teachers course, PEDG 531o.	During the current reporting year of 2021-2022, 93.7% of the action research proposal showed Meets Criteria or higher marks (i.e., level 3 or 4 out of 4). a total of 232 action research proposal that were evaluated in the Teacher Leadership program.	Data indicate a need for continued improvement in student success on academic writing skills. Identified Goal: The content for this assessment will contribute to increased quality of academic writing skills.

skills and critical	
thinking.	
Students are	
evaluated using	
a detailed action	
research	
proposal rubric.	
Rationale: The	
faculty	
determined that	
action research	
proposals can	
show students'	
higher order and	
critical thinking	
skills.	

Table 2. Continuous Improvement Results Since Last Report

Stage 4: ACT		
Actions/Goals Based on Data Results	Status	Discussion of Status
*Copy last cycle's actions/goals and report on	C=Complete	If C, describe efforts that led to accomplishment of
progress toward continuous improvement on those	P=Progressing	actions/goals.
here.	N=No Action Taken	If P, provide update on progress made toward
		accomplishing actions/goals and what tasks
		remain
		If N, discuss why action toward accomplishing
		actions/goals has been delayed and what work will
		be initiated toward accomplishment.
Given the positive outcome, the faculty adjusted	C	One of the program goals is to develop leadership
achievement target to 85% of High Proficient (level		skills through higher order thinking skills and
4) accomplishments or above.		technology integration in an education setting. As part of student learning outcome for the program
		goal is for our program candidates to demonstrate
		how they supported P-12 students learn through
		data driven decisions and technology integration.
		Even though our collected program data from 2020-
		2021 to 2021-2022 showed that our program
		candidates met the learning benchmark target for that category, the faculty saw the need to increase
		the emphasis on the impact on P-12 student
		learning as a result of our program. Accordingly,
		program improvement efforts were made by
		adjusting candidates' professional portfolio
		requirements, evaluation criteria and rubric.
Given the high achievement level, faculty set a	С	
higher goal of meeting high proficient or higher		target, this is due to the academic support faculty
level on the scoring rubric.		members provided throughout the process by
Identified Goal: The content for this assessment will	C	
skills.		improved student learning outcomes for each
		evaluation category.
Actions put in place:		
level on the scoring rubric. Identified Goal: The content for this assessment will contribute to increased quality of academic writing skills.	C	Therefore, the learning benchmark target data or reflect impact on P-12 student learning. Even though students exceeded the learning target, this is due to the academic support facult members provided throughout the process by allowing students to work on their draft and revision their work along with detailed instruction to set future goals that are measurable and achievable. When compared with the previous data analysis reporting cycle, this year's report clearly showed improved student learning outcomes for each

Actions put in place: 1. Faculty will review student data for this category and make necessary adjustments and improvements to courses in the program. 2. Faculty will provided necessary academic support for students who failed to meet Level 3.	С	Data indicated that the faculty actions taken to meet the goal showed positive outcomes. The learning target met for area.
Actions put in place: 1. Faculty will review student data for this category and make necessary adjustments and improvements to courses in the program. 2. Faculty will provided necessary academic support for students who failed to meet Level 3.	С	Data indicated that the faculty actions taken to meet the goal showed positive outcomes. The learning target met for area.
Actions put in place: 1. Faculty will review student data for this category and make necessary adjustments and improvements to courses in the program. 2. Faculty will provided necessary academic support for students who failed to meet Level 3.	С	Data indicated that the faculty actions taken to meet the goal showed positive outcomes. The learning target met for area.