Doctoral Program in Educational Leadership

Annual Program Report Template

Year:	2021-2022
Program:	Doctoral Program in Educational Leadership
Contact Person (include email & phone#)	J. Kenneth Young, jkyoung1@lamar.edu, 254-652-7107
	Krystal Hinerman, khinerman@lamar.edu,

Summary of Continuous Improvement Efforts since Last Report

Provide a brief description of how assessment results have been used for program improvement. Point to a specific example of how an assessment provided the program with data it could use for improvement and what that improvement was, if possible, also show evidence of the improvement. You may look at data from the two previous academic years to support this case.

Respond here:

For the 2021-2022 assessment cycle, Dr. J. Kenneth Young was serving as the new program director. Based on the outcomes in the WEAVE assessment, every program goal received "Exceeded" status. Despite this, the faculty were still seeing deficiencies in student ability to transfer skills and knowledge from the coursework into the final product in a way that did not warrant extraordinary support from dissertation chairs. It was hypothesized that the lack of predictive validity between student performance in courses and students' ability to produce quality dissertations within the required 12 hours of course work was related to how courses were assigned to faculty and adjuncts, which may have resulted in some grade inflation for various courses.

As such, Dr. Young used previously collected data from faculty to assign them to teach courses that were more closely aligned with their research interests and training. He also hired new adjuncts based upon their areas of expertise and provided onboarding processes that included expectations for teaching. Secondly, he created the role of "lead instructor" for each course whose responsibilities included providing oversight to the grading and feedback process of all teaching faculty and assessing reliability between graders. Spring 2022 was the first semester in which these processes were put into place and it appeared there was more variation between students as a result.

In addition to making changes to faculty selections and expectations, more work was done on clarifying differences between traditional dissertation and dissertations in practice. Faculty were provided a text dedicated to not only clearly defining the distinctions between the two types of dissertations, but also providing a framework of chairing the dissertation in practice. Furthermore, Dr. Young dedicated time in faculty meetings and individual discussions to clarify differences, as well as provide some organizational practices to help faculty in the matriculation of students without sacrificing quality of dissertation.

Finally, the faculty also revised the student handbook/policy manual to better clarify the dissertation process and the policies surrounding expected progress for students in order for them to remain in the program. One of the more significant revisions was clarify the process and timeline for admissions to candidacy (i.e., the successful defense of the dissertation proposal). Although it has always been a part of the policy, faculty made it more explicit that students had one year from starting their dissertation courses to successfully advance to candidacy. It is hoped/anticipated that students would respond by becoming more consistently active in working on their dissertation throughout the year.

Program Highlights Since Last Report

Identify and briefly discuss any programmatic curriculum changes made since the last report (e.g. new courses, course changes, SLO changes, course deletions).

Three courses slated to be reviewed and revised for the next cycle are Strategic Planning, Program Evaluation, and Academic Writing II. The faculty member who developed the Strategic Planning course and Program Evaluation course developed them based upon his background in business. It was also discovered that several of the rubrics in the courses were either not aligned with the assignment or failed to provide students with a full range of grades (i.e., for some assignments, the lowest grade a student could make based on the rubric was 70%). Student feedback for those courses indicated a strong desire for them to be more aligned to work conducted in educational settings, so the courses will be revised to reflect these changes.

Academic Writing II is being revised in order to try and improve the quality and quantity of research students review, and to assure assessments are aligned with course and programmatic expectations for a doctoral program.

Respond here:

Table 1. Assessment Results and Analyses for Current Cycle.

STAGE 1: PLAN			STAGE 2: DO		STAGE 3: STUDY	
Departmental	Program Student	Assessment	Assessment	Benchmark	Data Results	Actions/Goals Based on Data
Student Learning	Learning Outcome		Method/Locati	Expectations		Results* What do the data tell
Goal			on			you? How will you use this
						data? How were data from the
						last cycle used to make changes
						during this cycle, and What
						were the results of those
						changes?
Research Goal I.	Integration of the	Number of DiP's	A shift to the	10 DiPs	There were at	The data from the last cycle
Beginning in Fall of	Dissertation in	completed. A	DiP as the	completed for	least 16	tells us that more
2018, we introduced	Practice. Doctoral	shift to the DiP	program's	May Graduation	completed from	faculty/students are adopting
our project study	candidates understand	as the program's	primary		Aug. 2021	this model of dissertation.
dissertation, which	Dissertation in Practice	primary	dissertation		through May	
we are calling a	model and enter into	dissertation	model		2022.	
Dissertation in	the dissertation	model 				
Practice. With input	process with the	0;				
from faculty and	knowledge and skill set					
students, a template	to complete a quality					
was created. This	DiP. Additionally,					
was presented to	whereas the					
the Dean of the	implementation of the					
College of Education and Human	project is not required,					
Development, as	we encourage our students to pursue the					
well as the Provost.	use of the their work					
After receiving	within the context of					
approval to pilot the	their school or district.					
DiP, five students	then selled of district.					
were selected to						
complete their						
doctoral research						
using this model. All						
five students						
graduated in						
December 2018.						
Faculty then met						
and finalized the DiP						

another's students completed a DiP in May of 2019. The DiP is now fully implemented with all students being encouraged to consider this model. However, the traditional dissertation model still remains an option. Research Goal II. Assessment of candidates ability to locate, analyze and report literature with a high level of scholarly writing. Whereas the course is intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded drubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our	Γ	T		1			T
completed a DIP in May of 2019. The May of 2019. The DIP is now fully implemented with all students being encouraged to consider this model. However, the traditional dissertation model still remains an option. Research Goal II. Academic Writing I the appearance of candidates ability to locate, analyze and are proport literature with a high level of scholarly writing. Whereas the course is intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our							
May of 2019. The DIP is now fully implemented with all students being encouraged to consider this model. However, the traditional dissertation model still remains an option. Research Goal II. Sexessment of candidates ability to locate, analyze and report literature export literature with a high level of scholarly writing. Whereas the course is intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our							
DIP is now fully implemented with all students being encouraged to consider this model. However, the traditional dissertation model still remains an option. Assessment of candidates ability to locate, analyze and report literature with a high level of scholarly writing. Whereas the course is intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric in Writing III, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric or scholarly writing. Whereas the course is intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our							
implemented with all students being encouraged to consider this model. However, the traditional dissertation model still remains an option. Research Goal II. Assessment of candidates ability to locate, analyze and report literature with a high level of scholarly writing. Whereas the course intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our							
all students being encouraged to consider this model. However, the traditional dissertation model still remains an option. Research Goal II. Assessment of candidates ability to locate, analyze and report literature with a high level of scholarly writing. Whereas the course is intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our	1						
encouraged to consider this model. However, the traditional dissertation model still remains an option. Research Goal II. Assessment of candidates ability to locate, analyze and report literature with a high level of scholarly writing. Whereas the course in intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our							
consider this model. However, the traditional dissertation model still remains an option. Research Goal II. Assessment of candidates ability to locate, analyze and report literature with a high level of scholarly writing. Whereas the course intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our	_						
However, the traditional dissertation model still remains an option. Research Goal II. Assessment of candidates ability to locate, analyze and report literature with a high level of scholarly writing. Whereas the course intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric of the literature reliability for the literature reliability for the literature within the course embedded rubric of the literature reliability for feedback and grading. Whereas the course is intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing III, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our	I -						
traditional dissertation model still remains an option. Research Goal II. Assessment of Assessment of Iverature report literature with a high level of scholarly writing. Scholarly writing. Building of Literature Review. Students take Academic Writing I their first summer in the program. For most of our students, this is their first time to be exposed to high level expostations of scholarly writing. Whereas the course is intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our							
dissertation model still remains an option. Research Goal II. Assessment of candidates ability to locate, analyze and report literature with a high level of scholarly writing. Scholarly writing. Whereas the course in intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our	· ·						
still remains an option. Research Goal II. Assessment of candidates ability to locate, analyze and with a high level of scholarly writing. Whereas the course is intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our							
Research Goal II. Assessment of Review. Students take Academic Writing I I course, Items with a high level of scholarly writing. Whereas the course is intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our							
Research Goal II. Assessment of Review. Students take Academic Writing I their first summer in the program. For most of scholarly writing. Whereas the course in intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature reliable to assess where our	still remains an						
Assessment of candidates ability to locate, analyze and report literature with a high level of scholarly writing. Whereas the course is intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assess where our sesson where our sesson where our assess where our sesson which is the cademic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items assessment are used to assess where our sesson which is the cademic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the coarse embedded rubric for the literature review assess where our sesson which is the program. For most within the course embedded rubric (Bb EAC Visual) Tubric (Bb EAC Visual) Visual) Sections, 95.9- 97.3% of the class met the standard. Visual) Tubric (Bb EAC Visual) While the program. For most within the course embedded rubric (Bb EAC Visual) The program. For most within the course embedded rubric (Bb EAC Visual) The program. For most within the course embedded rubric (Bb EAC Visual) The program. For most within the course embedded rubric (Bb EAC Visual) The program. For most within the course embedded rubric (Bb EAC Visual) The program. For most within the course embedded rubric (Bb EAC Visual) The program. For most within the course embedded rubric (Bb EAC Visual) The program. For most within the course embedded rubric (Bb EAC Visual) The program. For most within the class met the class me							
candidates ability to locate, analyze and report literature with a high level of scholarly writing. Academic Writing I their first summer in the program. For most of our students, this is their first time to be exposed to high level expectations of scholarly writing. Whereas the course is intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our	Research Goal II.	Building of Literature	Course	Course	85% on scored	For each of the	Lead Professor role was
locate, analyze and report literature with a high level of scholarly writing. Whereas the course intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our	Assessment of	Review. Students take	embedded	embedded	rubric	sections, 95.9-	created to monitor inter-rater
report literature with a high level of scholarly writing. their first time to be exposed to high level expectations of scholarly writing. Whereas the course is intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our	candidates ability to	Academic Writing I	rubric in Writing	rubric (Bb EAC		97.3% of the	reliability for feedback and
with a high level of scholarly writing. of our students, this is their first time to be exposed to high level expectations of scholarly writing. Whereas the course is intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our	locate, analyze and	their first summer in	II course. Items	Visual)		class met the	grading.
scholarly writing. their first time to be exposed to high level expectations of scholarly writing. Whereas the course is intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our	report literature	the program. For most	within the			standard.	
exposed to high level expectations of scholarly writing. Whereas the course is intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our	with a high level of	of our students, this is	course				
expectations of scholarly writing. Whereas the course is intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our	scholarly writing.	their first time to be	embedded				
scholarly writing. Whereas the course is intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our		exposed to high level	rubric				
Whereas the course is intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our		expectations of					
intense, the level of assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our		scholarly writing.					
assessment is more formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our		Whereas the course is					
formative in nature. However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our		intense, the level of					
However, as they enter into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our		assessment is more					
into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our		formative in nature.					
into Academic Writing II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our		However, as they enter					
II, the expectations are higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our		-					
higher. Certain items within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our							
within the course embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our							
embedded rubric for the literature review assignment are used to assess where our							
the literature review assignment are used to assess where our							
assignment are used to assess where our							
assess where our		assignment are used to					
		_					
students are as they		students are as they					
complete the		-					

	Academic Writing					
	sequence.					
Knowledge of	Assessment of	Course	Course	80%	For the	Beginning in Fall of 2021, senior
Content I. The	candidate's ability to	embedded	embedded		culminating	faculty in a course was
culminating project	conduct educational	rubric in	rubric (Bb EAC		group projects,	designated as Lead Professor,
within the	research. EDUD 6356	Quantitative	Visual) -		the averages for	with the responsibility of
quantitative	Quantitative Research	Research	Quantitative		each section in	measuring and improving inter-
research course	Methods Project.	course. Rubric	Research		the rubric	rater reliability between the
calls for students to		averages were	(EDUD 6356)		ranged from	faculty teaching in the course
build a research		high for all			89%	and continuous monitoring of
plan. This		assessments in			(presentation	grading assignments.
assessment serves		EDUD 6356. This			abstract) to 92%	
as a catalyst to the		is largely due to			(presentation	
dissertation process.		this being the			slides and	
		first time the			presentation),	
		redesigned			thus exceeding	
		course wsa			the	
		offered. A			achievement	
		culminating			target.	
		group project				
		was				
		implemented				
		along with a				
		course web				
		conference.Whe				
		reas the				
		conference was				
		a success, there				
		are aspects of				
		the group work				
		that will need to				
		be worked out.				
		Currently, EDUD				
		6357 (Qual.				
		Research) is				
		being designed.				
		Lessons learned				
		from the newly				
		designed Quan.				
		Course will be				

Т	T T		
used in building			
the Qual. course			
with the goal for			
this two course			
sequence to			
have similar			
assessment and			
formatting. It is			
through these			
two courses that			
students			
ultimately			
decide on a			
design approach			
for their			
dissertation.			
Therefor,			
consistency			
between both			
courses is			
essential. Also,			
it is			
recommended			
that revisions			
are needed in			
the Ed. Research			
Methods course			
so that it will be			
more aligned			
with course			
outcomes			
associated with			
the quan. and			
qual. course.			
There is also a			
need to look at			
ways to avoid			
grade inflation			
due to varying			
1	L	l	

		expertise by				
		teaching faculty.				
Knowledge of	Ed.D. Final Reflection	Course	EAC Visual	80% on all rubric	Once again,	Although students reaching this
Content II.	Piece. This was the first	embedded	Rubric	items	students	point in the doctoral process
Assessment of	time EDUD 6353	rubric in			exceeded the	are expected to be successful,
candidate's	taught in an actually	Synthesis			achievement	we continued to see a lack of
recognition of	Bb course format.	course. Final			target for this	transfer in skills/knowledge
growth through self-	There were three	Reflection Piece			course, with	gained from coursework to the
reflection.	primary goals to this				averages	dissertation in an expected
	new offering. The first				ranging from 96-	manner; skills and knowledge
	was to have students				99% on each of	that are assessed in this course.
	complete an				the factors	More work is needed to
	"comprehensive"				measured.	determine why.
	reflective piece. The 5					·
	part final reflection					
	piece serves as the key					
	assessment to this					
	course. Whereas the					
	instrument used was					
	close to what has been					
	implemented in the					
	past, a research					
	component was					
	added. Data from the					
	first year of this					
	instrument shows that					
	we were successful in					
	implementing a piece					
	that shows not only					
	students growth in the					
	program as a					
	researcher, but also as					
	a practioner. In					
	addition to the Final					
	Reflection Piece,					
	students submitted a					
	2-3 page literature					
	review. The goal was					
	to ensure that they					
	were prepared to					

hanin Diagontalia at			1	T
begin Dissertation I				
where the primary				
focus is building				
Chapter 2. Finally, five				
modules of content				
were added. No				
assignments were				
linked to these				
modules. Instead,				
ADOBE sessions were				
held where students				
were exposes to				
different models of				
dissertation, a library				
tutorial, committee				
selection, timeline for				
completion, use of				
editors, etcThe				
assessment piece of				
"timeliness of				
completion" will be				
linked to the newly				
designed EDUD 6353.				
Our hope that students				
will complete in a				
more timely manner in				
part to the information				
presented to them in				
the newly designed				
Synthesis course.				
 ı	1	1	l .	

Table 2. Continuous Improvement Results Since Last Report

Stage 4: ACT		
Actions/Goals Based on Data Results	Status	Discussion of Status
*Copy last cycle's actions/goals and report on	C=Complete	If C, describe efforts that led to accomplishment of
progress toward continuous improvement on those	P=Progressing	actions/goals.
here.	N=No Action Taken	If P, provide update on progress made toward
		accomplishing actions/goals and what tasks
		remain
		If N, discuss why action toward accomplishing
		actions/goals has been delayed and what work will
		be initiated toward accomplishment.
Research Goal I. Beginning in Fall of 2018, we	С	Although we continue to see increased adoption
introduced our project study dissertation, which		by faculty and students of this model of
we are calling a Dissertation in Practice. With input		dissertation. Faculty were provided a text for
from faculty and students, a template was created.		improvement science dissertations in practice and
This was presented to the Dean of the College of		have been encouraged to use it as a guide for
Education and Human Development, as well as the		future DiPs. In addition to making changes to
Provost. After receiving approval to pilot the DiP,		faculty selections and expectations, more work
five students were selected to complete their		was done on clarifying differences between
doctoral research using this model. All five		traditional dissertation and dissertations in
students graduated in December 2018. Faculty		practice. Faculty were provided a text dedicated to
then met and finalized the DiP template and		not only clearly defining the distinctions between
another 5 students completed a DiP in May of		the two types of dissertations, but also providing a
2019. The DiP is now fully implemented with all		framework of chairing the dissertation in practice.
students being encouraged to consider this model.		Furthermore, Dr. Young dedicated time in faculty
However, the traditional dissertation model still		meetings and individual discussions to clarify
remains an option.		differences, as well as provide some organizational
		practices to help faculty in the matriculation of
		students without sacrificing quality of dissertation.
		Another result of the actions implemented, we are
		also seeing an improvement in the few traditional
		dissertations that were conducted. For the 2022-
		2023 cycle, we will have a dedicated CPED rep
		from our program who will help promote
		CPED/DiP principals to help with continued
		improvement.
Research Goal II. Assessment of candidates ability	P	The use of lead professors has helped improve the
to locate, analyze and report literature with a high		quality/consistency of feedback across sections.
level of scholarly writing.		The faculty are beginning conversations now about

		changing some features of the course to areas of continued deficiencies in the transfer of knowledge/skills.
Knowledge of Content I. The culminating project within the quantitative research course calls for students to build a research plan. This assessment serves as a catalyst to the dissertation process.	P	The use of lead professors has helped improve the quality/consistency of feedback across sections. The faculty are beginning conversations now about changing some features of the course to areas of continued deficiencies in the transfer of knowledge/skills.
Knowledge of Content II. Assessment of candidate's recognition of growth through self-reflection.	P	Although students reaching this point in the doctoral process are expected to be successful, we continued to see a lack of transfer in skills/knowledge gained from coursework to the dissertation in an expected manner; skills and knowledge that are assessed in this course. More work is needed to determine why.
		work is needed to determine why.