Bachelor of Science in Speech and Hearing Sciences (SPHS)

Department of Speech and Hearing Sciences at Lamar University

Annual Program Report

Year:	2021-2022		
Program:	Bachelor of Science (BS) in SPHS		
Contact Person (include email & phone#)	Person (include email & phone#) Johanna Boult (Department Chair) - (409) 880-8338 jweddleboult@lamar.edu		

Summary of Continuous Improvement Efforts since Last Report

Provide a brief description of how assessment results have been used for program improvement. Point to a specific example of how an assessment provided the program with data it could use for improvement and what that improvement was, if possible, also show evidence of the improvement. You may look at data from the two previous academic years to support this case.

Respond here:

The faculty of the Bachelor of Science (BS) program in SPHS work to continuously to use data reported here and institutional data to make improvements rooted in evidence. In the last two years, the program has experienced changes in faculty leadership and composition of members of faculty. For this reason, both data and required adjustments to change have led program improvement decisions. Examples of how assessment results have informed our changes include:

- Assessment results for critical thinking were not met. New faculty members that have been assigned to the related assessment assignments and courses have been briefed on this issue to make course-based changes as they work to newly develop the course. Faculty members are also evaluating undergraduate coursework to determine what other assignments and courses may help to meet the desired outcomes. Once courses and assignments are determined to be related to this goal, they will be added to upcoming assessments.
- Reflective thinking skill data was inconsistently reported. The program could not determine what adjustments may need to be made based on the available data. Program faculty are taking this inconsistency and using it to plan better procedures of documentation and have determined that this skill should be assessed across multiple undergraduate courses in the curriculum. Once courses and assignments are determined to be related to this goal, they will be added to upcoming assessments.
- Outcomes on information literacy were evaluated and appear to have been met, but the benchmarks were not appropriately set prior to measurement. Faculty who taught the associated course for assessment have left the program and new hires are being recruited for the course. The faculty determined that outcomes assessment for this information literacy goal should not be confined to a single course but rather multiple courses in the degree plan. Once courses and assignments are determined to be related to this goal, they will be added to upcoming assessments.
- Other examples of improvements can be provided upon request with documentation as needed.

Program Highlights Since Last Report

Identify and briefly discuss any programmatic curriculum changes made since the last report (e.g. new courses, course changes, SLO changes, course deletions).

Respond here:

Changes in faculty leadership and composition have occurred since the last report. Our endowed professor of research left January 2022 and has been replaced with a new faculty member in September 2022. Four speech-language pathology faculty left with one replacement in August 2022 with three additional expected in Fall 2022 and Spring 2023. Our Department Chair was an interim for the 2021-2022 year. A new Department Chair is joining us in August 2022. Our staff audiologist had been temporarily working to assist in teaching requirements of the program due to other faculty being in overload. However, following shifts to our in-house working clinic during Covid pandemic increased our need for her to be back in her primary role to ensure that the patient load in-house was supporting student needs and requirements for clinical experiences. Our staff audiologist is no longer participating in a teaching role but is now back to her primary duties. This has, however, placed some faculty into overload and solutions for additional faculty growth are being pursued with College and University leadership.

Our program has a curriculum committee that uses the data presented here, among other data and factors (e.g., preparation for graduate school, program accreditations, etc.) to make curriculum decisions approximately every 3 years as deemed appropriate. The last major curriculum change occurred in 2017 with changes placed on hold in 2020 due to Covid pandemic considerations and then delayed again due to changing faculty composition. Major curriculum revision is scheduled to begin in 2023.

National and state data regarding the need for speech-language pathology assistants (SLP-A) and for vocologists has led to the development of an existing vocology certificate program and to an upcoming SLP-A certification program.

Other examples of improvements can be provided upon request with documentation as needed.

Table 1. Assessment Results and Analyses for Current Cycle.

STAGE 1: PLAN				STAGE 2: DO		STAGE 3: STUDY
Departmental	Program Student	Assessment	Assessment	Benchmark	Data Results	Actions/Goals Based on Data
Student Learning	Learning Outcome		Method/Locati	Expectations		Results* What do the data tell
Goal			on			you? How will you use this
						data? How were data from the
						last cycle used to make changes
						during this cycle, and What
						were the results of those
						changes?
The Department of	Students will develop	Problem-based	Students in	75% of case	62.85% of	Data results of our evaluation
Speech and Hearing	critical thinking skills	learning	SPHS 3324, a	study evaluations	students in SPHS	did not meet goals of the BS
Sciences engages	that undergird	scenario:	major elective	will be	3324 completed	benchmarks. For this reason,
and empowers a	scientific and technical	Students will be	and clinical	completed with a	the case study	the course was assessed, and
diverse student	writing in speech and	required to	disorder	grade of B or	with a grade of	new faculty assigned to teach
population in the	hearing sciences.	complete a	course, will	higher during	B or higher	the course for future offerings
acquisition of	Critical thinking skills	clinical	complete a	Spring 2022.	during Spring 2022.	with information shared to new
knowledge and skills through innovative	are necessary for pursuit of graduate	application	case study evaluation and		2022.	faculty regarding the need for
and interactive	work.	assignment using case	identify			supporting this goal of critical thinking. Data are still being
curriculum design,	WOIK.	studies that	potential			collected to evaluate the
faculty mentored		demonstrate	directions of			results of these changes.
research,		critical thinking	treatment.			results of these changes.
exceptional clinical		skills.	treatment.			
service delivery, and	Students will develop	In-class exercise:	Students will be	Five of the	While the	Due to inconsistencies in
dedicated outreach	reflective thinking skills	Students will be	required to	required papers	assignments	availability of data, the
to the community.	that that undergird	required to	complete	will be	were completed	program could not determine
Through these	clinical decision	complete	reflection	completed with a	by students in	definite needed adjustments
transformative	making in speech and	reflection	papers in SPHS	grade of B or	SPHS 1371,	on this metric. Faculty who
activities the	hearing sciences.	related to	1371, an	better in Fall	faculty teaching	were assigned to the course
Department of		clinical scope of	introductory	2021.	course did not	have left the program and new
Speech and Hearing		practice areas.	course that		utilize LU-based	faculty are evaluating best
Sciences provides			surveys clinical			ways to assess reflective
interdisciplinary			areas in speech		gradebook	thinking skill development for
collaboration			and hearing.		tracking with	this goal. It was determined by
regionally and					the learning	program faculty that this skill
globally.					management	should be assessed across
The Bachelor's					system,	multiple undergraduate
degree in Speech					therefore	courses in the curriculum.

and Hearing Science is a pre-professional program, but does not fully prepare a student to work independently as a Speech-Language Pathologist or Audiologist. It prepares students for graduate level work to obtain a higher, advanced degree (Master's or Doctorate).	Students will develop	In-class exercise:	Students will	Five sources will	individual student evaluations are not visible to current administrators. For this reason, this skill will be evaluated in an alternate course or within the same course under current faculty.	Curriculum review is planned for the 2022-2023 academic year (when we expect to have finalized additional faculty hires) to determine the most appropriate courses and assignments related to this goal for future assessment. While outcomes on this goal
	information literacy skills that undergird evidence-based practice in speech and hearing sciences. Students will engage in information literacy orientation.	Students will identify sources of information related to the clinical scope of practice area.	identify sources of information related to a clinical scope of practice areas in SPHS 4336.	be identified in exercises in SPHS 4336 during Spring 2021.	87% of students successfully identified five sources in SPHS 4336 as recorded through achievement on three evidence-based analyses assignments (grade of B or better) during Spring 2021.	were high, there was not a specified benchmark for this goal (I.e., percentage of students, level to be reached) set by previous administrators in the Department. Faculty who taught the associated course for assessment have left the program and new hires are being recruited for the course. Faculty determined that outcomes assessment for this information literacy goal should not be confined to a single course but rather multiple courses in the degree plan. Curriculum review is planned for the 2022-2023 academic year (when we expect to have finalized additional faculty hires) to determine the most appropriate courses and

_				
				assignments related to this goal
				for future assessment.

Table 2. Continuous Improvement Results Since Last Report

Stage 4: ACT		
Actions/Goals Based on Data Results	Status	Discussion of Status
*Copy last cycle's actions/goals and report on	C=Complete	If C, describe efforts that led to accomplishment of
progress toward continuous improvement on those	P=Progressing	actions/goals.
here.	N=No Action Taken	If P, provide update on progress made toward
		accomplishing actions/goals and what tasks
		remain
		If N, discuss why action toward accomplishing
		actions/goals has been delayed and what work will
		be initiated toward accomplishment.
Students will develop critical thinking skills that	P	Data results of our evaluation did not meet goals
undergird scientific and technical writing in speech		of the BS benchmarks. For this reason, the course
and hearing sciences. Critical thinking skills are		was assessed, and new faculty assigned to teach
necessary for pursuit of graduate work. This goal		the course for future offerings with information
was not met. Faculty are working to determine		shared to new faculty regarding the need for
how to improve this metric now that new faculty		supporting this goal of critical thinking. Data are
will be teaching this information in our curriculum.		still being collected to evaluate the results of these
		changes.
Students will develop critical thinking skills that	P	Due to inconsistencies in availability of data, the
undergird scientific and technical writing in speech		program could not determine definite needed
and hearing sciences. Students will develop		adjustments on this metric. Faculty who were
reflective thinking skills that that undergird clinical		assigned to the course have left the program and
decision making in speech and hearing sciences.		new faculty are evaluating best ways to assess
Data was inconsistently reported from faculty who		reflective thinking skill development for this goal.
are no longer part of the program. Faculty are		It was determined by program faculty that this skill
working to determine how to improve this metric		should be assessed across multiple undergraduate
now that new faculty will be teaching this		courses in the curriculum. Curriculum review is
information in our curriculum.		planned for the 2022-2023 academic year (when
		we expect to have finalized additional faculty
		hires) to determine the most appropriate courses
		and assignments related to this goal for future
		assessment.
Students will develop information literacy skills	P	While outcomes on this goal were high, there was
that undergird evidence-based practice in speech		not a specified benchmark for this goal (I.e.,
and hearing sciences. Students will engage in		percentage of students, level to be reached) set by
information literacy orientation. This goal was met,		previous administrators in the Department. Faculty
however, the goal was not specific or easily		who taught the associated course for assessment
measured without benchmark. Faculty are working		have left the program and new hires are being

to determine best ways to evaluate this metric for	recruited for the course. Faculty determined that
more specific assessment.	outcomes assessment for this information literacy
	goal should not be confined to a single course but
	rather multiple courses in the degree plan.
	Curriculum review is planned for the 2022-2023
	academic year (when we expect to have finalized
	additional faculty hires) to determine the most
	appropriate courses and assignments related to
	this goal for future assessment.